The hash tables

- Google’s dense and sparse hash tables
  - Use open addressing
  - Quadratic probing

- SGI
  - Is a chained hash table
  - Referred to as gnu in graphs

- One-table and Two-table
  - Doubly linked: Rather large compartments
  - Buckets: Two pointers delimit a section of a circular list.
  - One-table uses alternative vector implementation
• Chained
  – Singly linked: small compartments
  – lookup uses a tight inner loop by copying into sentinel.

• The same hash functions were used for all hash tables. A string table lookup method for string data and a division method for integer data.
The machines

- 32 bit app intel machines at DIKU without PAPI

- 64 bit amd with PAPI extensions
Kinds of benchmarks

• Memory allocated
  – Not Google

• Timing
  – CPU time + Total CPU cycles
  – Variability measured as std. deviation
  – CPU time and CPU cycle graphs are very alike, CPU cycles are used.

• Cache behaviour
  – Number of L1 Cache misses.
  – L1 Cache miss ratio: Percentage of cache accesses that are misses.
Benchmarks skipped

- The measurements using “by value” string data are not included here because they were problematic.
Data used for the benchmarks

- Random strings (10 bytes) and integers - reflect the behavior of the data structure with a perfect distribution of in-data.

- Ordered data: Gene sequences, words and output of the `locate` command.

- Realism of data: range 100000 - 800000 elements

- Data was loaded into memory from a file and then scanned.
• The maximum load factor
  
  – Google: 0.8
  
  – SGI (gnu on graphs): 1.0
  
  – Us: initially 5.0, then 1.0 - we focus on max load factor of 1.0

• Timing
  
  – Linear hash tables all save the hash value which saves time on string data.
  
  – Iteration on the linear hash tables should be efficient because of the circular chain of elements.
  
  – The chained hash table uses a tight inner loop containing only one test. First compartment in chain is stored in vector.
  
  – Google has a cache advantage in using open addressing.
• Allocation
  – Saving the hash value takes more memory.
  – Doubly linked lists vs. singly linked lists.
  – Two tables vs. one table.
  – Alternative allocation scheme used by one table
The **Lookup operation**

- **lookup non-existing is called for each insert.**

- **lookup existing is called for each delete**

- Saving the hash value saves time on lookup of string data.

- Lookup non-existing: each odd entry was inserted, each even was looked up.
• One- and two-table are very similar.

• A factor 10 difference between the two graphs y axis

• a non uniform distribution particularly effects the sparse hash table
Cache

- Few cache misses with open addressing

- Lookup of non-existing elements causes more cache misses because more elements are traversed on average.

- Linear hash tables have a quite high cache miss ratio.

- The good cache miss ratio of the chained hash table implementation is likely due to the storing of the first compartment within the vector.
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• When using data that is not uniformly distributed the cache miss ratio is higher for all hash tables, and significantly higher for the linear hash tables.
Variability

- The chained hash table exhibits the least amount of variability. Again the storing of the first element within the vector may be the reason.

- Google sparse fluctuates a lot.
Standard deviation of CPU cycle count per operation for lookup, existing of pointers to filenames
Memory allocation

- Graphs are similar for different data types.

- Graphs from the amd64 are similar, but more memory is allocated.

- The onetable hash table uses a constant amount of memory per element.

- Small decline in memory allocated per element for the onetable implementation is due to duplicates in the genome data.

Max load factor 1
Max load factor 5

- All our implementations use less memory at a load factor of 5 because more buckets need to be allocated.
Allocated bytes per element for insertion of random ints

Allocated bytes per element for insertion of genome-based ints
The **insert** operation

- The sparse hash table uses a lot of time, especially on the genome based integers.

- The chained hash table does well on string data.

- The google hash tables loose cache benefits when inserting strings.
Total CPU cycles for insertion of pointers to random strings

Total CPU cycles for insertion of pointers to filenames
Cache

Cache miss ratio for insertion of random ints
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Cache miss ratio for insertion of pointers to random strings
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Cache misses for insertion of pointers to random strings

Cache misses for insertion of pointers to filenames
Variability

- Linear hash tables show very little variability.
The delete operation

- Deletion is surprisingly effective on the google dense hash table
- The chained hash table is also very effective
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Variability

- Sparse does very bad on genome based data
Cache miss ratio for deletion of random ints

Cache miss ratio for deletion of genome-based ints
Forward Iteration

Total CPU cycles for iteration of random ints

Total CPU cycles for iteration of genome-based ints

Standard deviation of CPU cycle count per operation for iteration of random ints
Standard deviation of CPU cycle count per operation for iteration of genome-based ints